1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 KAREN SINCLAIR, individually and NO: CV-12-3041-RMP as Guardian Ad Litem for K.S. and J.A., 8 minor children; and JULIAN AL-**GHAMDI** ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT 9 MARK NEGRETE'S MOTION TO Plaintiffs, JOIN CITY AND COUNTY 10 DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR v. SUMMARY JUDGMENT 11 CITY OF GRANDVIEW, a municipal 12 corporation in the State of Washington, et al.. 13 Defendants. 14 BEFORE THE COURT is Defendant Mark Negrete's motion to join the 15 motion for summary judgment filed by co-Defendants City of Grandview, Michael 16 Akins, Kal Fuller, John Arraj, Rick Abaraca, Mitch Fairchild, Kevin Glasenapp, 17 Travis Shephard, Seth Bailey, Robert Tucker and Therese Murphy ("City and 18 County Defendants"), ECF No. 110. The motion was heard without oral argument. 19 The Court has reviewed the briefing and the record and is fully informed. 20 ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MARK NEGRETE'S MOTION TO JOIN

CITY AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY

JUDGMENT ~ 1

3

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

Plaintiffs' amended complaint asserts several causes of action arising from Defendants' conduct in obtaining and executing a search warrant for Plaintiffs' residence and in detaining and prosecuting Karen Sinclair and Julian Al-Ghamdi. Plaintiffs stated multiple causes for alleged violations of their civil rights against Defendants the City of Grandview, Washington; the Law Enforcement Against Drugs ("L.E.A.D.") Task Force of Yakima County; prosecutor Therese Murphy; and law enforcement officers Michael Akins, Kal Fuller, John Arraj, Rick Abacara, Mitch Fairchild, Kevin Glasenapp, Travis Shephard, Seth Bailey, Robert Tucker, Albert Escalera, and Mark Negrete. Plaintiffs further alleged an action for malicious prosecution against Therese Murphy and Michael Akins, and an action for denial of familial relationships against Detective Atkins alone. Plaintiffs also asserted a § 1983 claim against City of Grandview under Monell v. Department of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). ECF No. 3.

The City and County Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on all of Plaintiffs' claims against them on January 31, 2013. ECF No. 79. The City and County Defendants' arguments in favor of summary judgment are highly fact-specific and generally turn on the individual actions of each of the officers involved in the L.E.A.D. Task Force.

Defendant Negrete is a City of Selah Police Officer who was assigned to serve on the L.E.A.D. Task Force and participated in executing the search warrant

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MARK NEGRETE'S MOTION TO JOIN CITY AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ~ 2

on Plaintiffs' home. On February 27, 2013, Defendant Negrete moved to join the City and County Defendants' motion for summary judgment and to adopt the City and County Defendant's statement of material facts and memorandum in support of summary judgment. ECF No. 110. Defendant Negrete additionally filed a declaration setting forth his involvement in the investigation of Plaintiff Julian Al-Ghamdi and subsequent execution of the search warrant on Plaintiffs' home. ECF No. 111. Defendant Negrete set March 29, 2013, as the hearing date for his motion to join.

However, the Court finds that Defendant Negrete's motion does not comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7(b), Local Rule 7.1, and Local Rule 56.1.

Defendant Negrete has not provided adequate legal argument or explained how the facts specific to him fit within the arguments offered by the City and County

Defendants. Although Defendant Negrete has submitted a declaration supporting a set of facts, he has not explained how the law would apply to the facts. This leaves the Court and Plaintiffs to speculate how Defendant Negrete believes the facts he has stated are legally important within the framework of the City and County Defendants' arguments in favor of summary judgment.

Neither Plaintiffs nor the Court can be expected to fill in the contours of Defendant Negrete's legal argument. If Defendant Negrete wishes to move for summary judgment, he must do so on his own motion fully setting forth legal

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MARK NEGRETE'S MOTION TO JOIN CITY AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ~ 3

1	argument specific to his own particular facts. The dispositive motion deadline is
2	June 14, 2013. ECF No. 30.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Negrete's
4	motion to join the City and County Defendants' motion for summary judgment,
5	ECF No. 100, is DENIED.
6	The District Court Clerk is directed to enter this Order and provide copies to
7	counsel.
8	<b>DATED</b> this 11th day of April 2013.
9	
10	s/ Rosanna Malouf Peterson  ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
11	Chief United States District Court Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MARK NEGRETE'S MOTION TO JOIN CITY AND COUNTY DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  $\sim 4$